

Houston Profile Project
Heights Area (CSA #15)
ZIP Codes: 77007, 77008, 77009 and 77018

Center for Community Research and Development
Community Poll 98

September 2004



Research Directors

Larry Lyon, Ph.D.
Tillman Rodabough, Ph.D.
Robyn Driskell, Ph.D.
Carson Mencken, Ph.D.

Research Analysts

Elizabeth Embry
Elizabeth Terrazas
Jeniece Williams

Revised: 10/01/05

BACKGROUND OF THE BAYLOR CENTER FOR COMMUNITY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Mission

The Center for Community Research and Development (CCRD) was established at Baylor University in 1979 as a multidisciplinary entity with a mission of **engaging Baylor faculty and students in applied social research to improve the local quality of life**. Over time, the CCRD has broadened its focus, sometimes engaging in statewide or national research, and the CCRD has become closely linked with Baylor's Ph.D. program in Applied Sociology, where Ph.D. students serve as center research analysts. Still, our research remains multidisciplinary and many of the CCRD's projects retain a local quality of life focus.

Facilities

The CCRD originally worked out of faculty offices in Sociology and Political Science and moved into its own facilities in 1990, when the operations center was located in the basement of the Sid Richardson Science Building. The CCRD moved to Suite 300 of the Robinson Tower in 1994. These modern facilities allow easier access to the community and include:

- offices for Center directors, six doctoral students, research analysts, and a project coordinator,
- focus group facilities with a separate observation room and recording equipment,
- a conference room for meetings and graduate seminars,
- report production facilities for CCRD documents,
- a local area network with Windows operating systems, and
- a Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) system.

Services

The Center for Community Research and Development is equipped to undertake a wide variety of projects for organizations, businesses, industries, and governmental agencies. Services include:

- Mail Surveys
- Telephone Surveys
- Questionnaire design
- Needs assessments
- Program planning and evaluation
- Impact assessments
- Population trend studies and projections
- Data collection and analysis
- Sampling designs
- Focus groups
- Seminars and workshops on community issues
- Mock Juries and Jury Consulting

Society for Applied Sociology

From 1998 through 2001, the CCRD served as the administrative office for the Society for Applied Sociology, an international organization for professionals involved in applying sociological knowledge in a wide variety of settings. The CCRD retains an active membership in SAS and Dr. Tillman Rodabough is the President. The mission statement for SAS is to:

- Provide a forum for sociologists and others interested in applying sociological knowledge.
- Enhance understanding of the interrelationship between sociological knowledge and sociological practice.
- Increase the usefulness and effectiveness of applied sociological research and training.

Selected Previous Clients

The CCRD has served a varied clientele on a large number of issues. Representative projects include:

- **Telephone surveys** for the City of Waco, Southwestern Bell, the *Waco Tribune-Herald*, Houston Profile Project
- **Site location research** for the Perryman Group, American Airlines
- **Needs assessments** for the Baptist General Convention of Texas, the United Way, HOTCOG
- **Population projections** for the Heart of Texas Council of Governments, Heritage House
- **Focus groups** for Creative Education Institute, Baylor University, EEOC, City of Waco, the United Way
- **Mail-out surveys** for Hillcrest Baptist Medical Center, the City of Woodway, Baptist General Convention of Texas
- **Intercept surveys** for Richland Mall, the Texas Ranger Museum, the Dr. Pepper Museum
- **Mock juries** and **change of venue** research for Amarillo, Dallas and Waco law firms
- **Program assessments** for the McLennan County Youth Collaboration, Waco Police Department
- **Door-to-door surveys** for the Waco Housing Authority, Mission Waco, Drug Prevention Resources
- **Voter exit interviews** for the Dallas Independent School District
- **Institutional evaluation** for San Marcos Baptist Academy, the BGCT Education Commission. Baptists in Scouting, Baylor University, Waco Independent School District
- **Program development** for the Cooper Foundation, the Junior League, MHMR

Fees

The CCRD is a non-profit service center for the community and University. Fees charged for research projects represent actual costs for services and enable the CCRD to be self-supporting.



Staff

- **Dr. Larry Lyon** is Director of the CCRD, Dean of the Graduate School, and Professor of Sociology in the Department of Sociology and Anthropology (Larry_Lyon@Baylor.edu).
- **Dr. Tillman Rodabough** is Research Director for the CCRD and Professor of Social Psychology in the Department of Sociology (Tillman_Rodabough@Baylor.edu).
- **Mrs. Debbie Marable** is the Projects Coordinator for the CCRD, Graduate Program Assistant for Sociology and a graduate of Baylor University (Debbie_Marable@Baylor.edu).
- **Dr. Carson Mencken** is Associate Professor of Sociology and Graduate Program Director for Sociology, with research expertise in statistical analysis and spatial modeling and serves as a research professor for CCRD (Carson_Mencken@Baylor.edu).
- **Dr. Robyn Bateman Driskell** is Associate Professor of Sociology with expertise in demography and serves as a research professor for the CCRD (Robyn_Bateman@Baylor.edu).
- **Dr. Charles Tolbert, II** is Professor and Chair, Department of Sociology and Anthropology and GIS and census data consultant for CCRD (Charlie_Tolbert@Baylor.edu).
- **Lacey C. Wiggins, M.A.** is a Senior CCRD Research Analyst and a doctoral student in the Baylor Applied Sociology Program (Lacey_Chapman@Baylor.edu).
- **Rusty Parker, M. A.** is a Senior CCRD Research Analyst and a doctoral student in the Baylor Applied Sociology Program (Rusty_Parker@Baylor.edu).
- **Jodien Matos** is a CCRD Research Analyst and a master's student in the Baylor Applied Sociology Program (Jodien_Matos@Baylor.edu).
- **Kathy Krey** is a CCRD Research Analyst and a master's student in the Baylor Applied Sociology Program (Kathy_Krey@Baylor.edu).
- **Jeniece Williams** is a CCRD Research Analyst and a master's student in the Baylor Applied Sociology Program (Jeniece_Williams@Baylor.edu).
- **Elizabeth Terrazas-Carrillo** is a CCRD Research Analyst and a master's student in the Baylor Applied Sociology Program (Elizabeth_Terrazas-Carrillo @Baylor.edu).
- **Liz Embry** is a CCRD Research Analyst and a master's student in the Baylor Applied Sociology Program (Elizabeth_Ninneman@Baylor.edu).

Each staff person can also be contacted at the CCRD office (254) 710-3811 or e-mailed at CCRD@Baylor.edu. Our website address is <http://www.Baylor.edu/CCRD>



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Background of the Poll	6
Executive Summary	7
Demographics	8
CSA Rankings by Key Lifestyle and Religion Questions	24
Key Leader Interviews	51
Map	60



Background of the Poll

Center for Community Research and Development
Poll 98
(September 2004)

In the fall of 2003, the Center for Community Research and Development (CCRD) conducted a scientific poll to assess religious and lifestyle practices and beliefs in 45 communities in the Greater Houston Area. Questions for the poll were developed by CCRD researchers using national polls on lifestyles and religion as a guideline.

From October 1 through November 15, a total of 2,419 Greater Houston Area residents were interviewed using a Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) system. The CATI technology allows control over the interviewing process and automates data entry. The sample was selected from a computer-generated set of random phone numbers. Random generation includes residents with unlisted numbers. Other steps taken to ensure the quality of the poll include: (1) testing and editing experimental versions of the questionnaire to increase the clarity and reliability of each question; (2) intensive training for each interviewer; (3) continual monitoring of interviews by social science Ph.D.s; (4) daily review of work and interviewing procedures and results by the CCRD staff; (5) calling during times established in previous studies to provide the most representative sample; (6) making up to five call-backs for each phone number to avoid distorting the sample toward the more easily accessible residents; (7) using special “screens” to increase the number of male and minority respondents; (8) purchasing a special bank of phone lines with “Community Research” as the caller identification label rather than the standard label, “Baylor University;” and (9) employing Spanish speaking interviewers for households where Spanish is the primary language.

The demographic characteristics of the sample resemble those of demographics available through external sources. While the sample is largely representative of the population, minority ethnic groups were slightly under-represented among respondents in the poll. To compensate, this analysis uses weighted data to ensure a more representative sample. The final sample is comparable to official data available on the 45 communities in the Greater Houston Area’s 3,097,362 adults. For example, the sample used in the analysis is approximately 58% “Anglo,” 20% Hispanic, and 17% African-American.^[1] The percentages for African Americans and Anglos closely reflect recent Census estimate population numbers for the 45 communities in the Greater Houston Area.^[2] Other population numbers/sample comparisons suggest similarly low levels of bias.

For the overall response distributions of the questionnaire data, the responses will typically vary by less than 3 percentage points in either direction at the 95% confidence level. For cross-tabulation tables that analyze smaller portions of the sample by dividing it into various categories such as race or education, the percentage of variation will be considerably higher.

^[1] Throughout this report, the terms “White” and “Anglo” refer to non-Hispanic whites. “African-American” and “Black” are used interchangeably.

^[2] 2002 Census estimates show a total adult population of 3,097,362 for the 45 communities in the Greater Houston Area. Of the approximately 3,097,362 adults: about 45.0% are Anglo, 17.5% African American, 30.2% Hispanic, 0.4% American Indian, 5.4% Asian/Pacific Islander and 1.4% are Multi-Racial.



Executive Summary

Center for Community Research and Development

Heights Area (CSA #15)

- The racial/ethnic composition of the Heights Area CSA is diverse, with a high percent of Hispanics (53.1%), and significantly different from the Greater Houston area.
- The percent of the population between age 65 or older is slightly higher in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston area (11.4% vs. 7.5%).
- In the Heights Area CSA, almost 35% of the residents do not have a high school diploma.
- The Heights Area CSA has a greater proportion of households with incomes less than \$15,000 than the Greater Houston Area (15.9% vs. 12.2%).
- There are significantly more households consisting of one person in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston area (33.8% vs. 23.5%).
- Less than half of the residents in the Heights Area CSA get a great deal of satisfaction from their neighborhood.
- The Heights Area CSA ranks in the bottom quarter of all CSAs in the Greater Houston area on family satisfaction.
- The Heights Area CSA ranks third from the top of all CSAs in the Greater Houston area on success at balancing work and family.
- The Heights Area CSA ranks sixth from the top of all CSAs in Greater Houston area on overall happiness.
- The Heights Area CSA ranks in the bottom half of all CSAs in Greater Houston area on weekly church attendance.
- The majority of adults in the Heights Area CSA do not attend church weekly (69.29%).
- The Heights Area CSA ranks second of all CSAs in Greater Houston area on percent who never attend church.
- In the Heights Area CSA, over 19,500 adults never attend church.
- The Heights Area CSA ranks sixth from the bottom of all CSAs in Greater Houston area on percent who consider themselves born again.
- Despite lower levels of church attendance in the Heights Area CSA, there appears to be a high level of spiritual interest in the area.
- The Heights Area ranks third from the bottom of all CSAs in Greater Houston area on percent who are likely to see a religious counselor when they have problems.
- The Heights Area CSA ranks fifth from the bottom of all CSAs in Greater Houston area on percent who pray when they have problems.
- The Heights Area CSA ranks seventh from the bottom of all CSAs in Greater Houston area on percent who have no doubts about the existence of God.




Houston Profile Project Heights Area (CSA #15)

Demographics

Center for Community Research and Development
Community Poll 98




Race/Ethnicity
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area



- The racial/ethnic composition of the Heights Area CSA is significantly different from the Greater Houston Area.
- In the Heights Area CSA, Anglos comprise only 36.3% of the population, compared to 45.0% in the Greater Houston Area.
- The percent of Blacks in the Greater Houston Area is over twice that of the Heights Area CSA (17.5% vs. 7.4%).
- The percentage of Hispanics in the Heights Area CSA is significantly higher than the Greater Houston Area (53.1% vs. 30.2%).
- Asians/Pacific Islanders comprise 5.4% of the population in the Greater Houston Area, a percentage 5 times greater than in the Heights Area CSA (1.1%).
- Very few differences exist between the Greater Houston Area and the Heights Area CSA in the remaining race/ethnic categories.




**Population by Age
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**




- There are slight differences between the Greater Houston Area and the Heights Area CSA in terms of age.
- The percent of the population between age 65 or older is slightly higher in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston Area (11.4% vs. 7.5%).
- In the Heights Area CSA, there is a slightly lower percent of the population under 18 years of age than in the Greater Houston Area (23.5% vs. 28.3%).
- There is no significant difference between the Greater Houston Area and the Heights Area CSA in the category of people aged 18 to 24 (10.1% vs. 9.8%).
- People between the ages of 25 to 34 comprise 17.4% in the Heights Area CSA, compared to 15.9% in the Greater Houston Area.
- No significant difference exists between the Heights Area CSA and the Greater Houston Area in the categories including people from ages 35 to 64 years.



**Median Age Males and Females
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**




**Population by Sex
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**



- There are slight differences in age and gender composition between the Greater Houston Area and the Heights Area CSA.
- Males comprise 51.5% in the Heights Area CSA, compared to 49.9% in the Greater Houston Area.
- In the Heights Area CSA, females represent 48.5% of the population, whereas in the Greater Houston Area they comprise 50.1%.
- The median age for males is 33.6 years in the Heights Area CSA, compared to 31.8 years in the Greater Houston Area.
- Females in the Greater Houston Area have a median age of 33.2 years, compared to 35.8 in the Heights Area CSA.




**Education Levels for Adults 25+
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**



- There are differences in the educational attainment levels between the Heights Area CSA and the Greater Houston Area.
- The percent of the population over 25 years of age is higher in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston Area (66.7% vs. 61.6%).
- People without a high school diploma comprise 22.8% in the Greater Houston Area, compared to 34.6% in the Heights Area CSA.
- The percentage of the population with a high school diploma does not vary significantly between the Greater Houston Area and the Heights Area CSA (22.0% vs. 20.7%).
- In the Greater Houston Area, there is a higher percent of the population with some college but no degree, and associate degrees than the Heights Area CSA (27.1% vs. 20.0%).
- College graduates comprise 15.9% of the population in the Heights Area CSA, compared to 18.8% in the Greater Houston Area.
- In the Heights Area CSA, the percent of the population holding graduate/professional degrees does not differ significantly from the Greater Houston Area (8.7% vs. 9.3%).




**Family Households
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**



- The percentage of families with children in the Greater Houston Area is larger than that of the Heights Area CSA (60.1% vs. 52.7%).
- The percentage of married couples without children is slightly higher in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston Area (34.9% vs. 31.9%).
- There is little difference between the Greater Houston Area and the Heights Area CSA for percent single mother households (13.3% vs. 12.8%).
- Single male and female households without children, are more prevalent in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston Area (12.4% vs.8.0%).




Households by Income Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area



- The Heights Area CSA has a greater proportion of households with incomes less than \$15,000, than the Greater Houston Area (15.9% vs. 12.2%).
- There are a greater percentage of households with annual incomes less than \$50,000 in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston Area (59.3% vs. 51.3%).
- 22.8% of the households in the Greater Houston Area have annual incomes between \$75,000 and \$150,000, compared to 17.6% of households in the Heights Area CSA.




Income Dynamics Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area



- The median household income is significantly greater in the Greater Houston Area than in Heights Area CSA (\$52,462 vs. \$41,224).
- The median family income is much larger in the Greater Houston Area than in Heights Area CSA (\$61,391 vs. \$44,821).
- There is less variance among the other two categories.




**Total Housing Units
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**



- A higher percentage of households are occupied by the owner in the Greater Houston Area compared to the Heights Area CSA (55.6% vs. 49.3%).
- A slightly higher percentage of housing units in the Heights Area CSA are vacant, compared to the Greater Houston Area (8.9% vs. 7.1%).
- A higher percentage of households in the Heights Area CSA are occupied by renters, compared to the Greater Houston Area (41.8% vs. 37.4%).
- In both the Heights Area CSA and the Greater Houston Area, a household is more likely to be occupied by the owner than by a renter.




**Units by Year Built
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**



- The proportion of housing units built before 1939 in the Heights Area CSA is over 7 times that of the Greater Houston Area (24.2% vs. 3.3%).
- Most of the housing units in the Heights Area CSA were built before 1939.
- Only 22.5% of housing units in the Heights Area CSA were built after 1970, compared to 69.4% for the Greater Houston Area.
- In general, housing units in the Heights Area CSA tend to be older than those in the Greater Houston Area.




**Housing Units by Type
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**




- The proportion of detached single housing units in the Heights Area CSA is greater than the proportion in the Greater Houston Area (66.8% vs. 59.1%).
- There are significantly more dwellings with 50+ units in the Greater Houston Area than in the Heights Area CSA (14.3% vs. 9.7%).
- Over half of the households in the Heights Area CSA are detached single housing units (66.8%).
- Detached single housing units and 50+ apartment complexes make up 76.5% of the households in the Heights Area CSA.



**Median Home Value
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**




Median Length of Occupancy Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area



- The median length of occupancy is generally longer for dwellings that are occupied by the owner and shorter for those occupied by a renter.
- In both owner and renter occupied dwellings, the Heights Area CSA has a longer median length of occupancy, than the Greater Houston Area.
- In the Heights Area CSA, the median length of occupancy for owner-occupied households is almost five times that of renter-occupied households (11.9 years vs. 2.4 years).




**Households by Count of Vehicles
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**



- The number of households with no vehicles is significantly greater in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston Area (12.0% vs. 7.7%).
- The number of households with only one vehicle available is significantly greater in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston Area (44.0% vs. 36.6%).
- The number of households with 2 or more vehicles available is significantly higher in the Greater Houston Area than in the Heights Area CSA (55.8% vs. 44.0%).
- Over half of the households in the Heights Area CSA have no vehicle or only one vehicle available (56.0%).
- Most households in the Heights Area CSA have one vehicle available (44.0%).



**Households by Count of Persons
Heights Area CSA and Greater Houston Area**



- There are significantly more households consisting of one person in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston Area (33.8% vs. 23.5%).
- There are significantly more households with three or more people in Greater Houston Area than there are in the Heights Area CSA (48.2% vs. 36.9%).
- In the Heights Area CSA, more people live alone in their household than in any other arrangement (33.8%).
- In general, households in both the Heights Area CSA and the Greater Houston Area tend to consist of one or two people.
- Households in the Greater Houston Area tend to have more people than households in the Heights Area CSA.



Houston Profile Project Heights Area (CSA #15)

CSA Rankings

By
Key Lifestyle and Religion Questions

In this section we rank order the 45 CSAs by key religious and lifestyle questions. We use a form of hierarchical modeling in which individual responses to the lifestyle and religion questions in the HPP Poll are estimated with key socio-demographic measures from each CSA. These measures include age structure, race and ethnic composition, household structure, education, income, and housing values. The analysis produces an estimated average response to each question for each of the 45 CSAs. We subsequently rank the 45 CSAs based on these responses, and provide tables of these rankings in this section of the report. Please note that the measures reported here are those for which the hierarchal analysis proved useful. For some measures, the models did not perform well enough to allow us to report rankings with an acceptable level of confidence.

Center for Community Research and Development
Community Poll 98



CSA by Percent Who Get a Great Deal of Satisfaction from Neighborhood
 Percent Great Deal of

Ranking	CSA	Percent Great Deal of Satisfaction w/Neighborhood
1	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	63.92%
2	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	59.28%
3	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	56.39%
4	40.00 Woodlands	55.85%
5	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	55.60%
6	37.00 Villages/Galleria	55.11%
7	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	54.90%
8	20.00 Katy Area	53.82%
9	30.00 Pearland	53.11%
10	34.00 Spring/Klein	51.02%
11	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	51.02%
12	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	50.96%
13	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	50.74%
14	10.00 Deer Park	49.14%
15	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	48.61%
16	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	48.41%
17	38.00 Waller/Hockley	47.95%
18	15.00 Heights Area	47.44%
19	42.00 Magnolia	46.15%
20	19.00 Jersey Village	45.63%
21	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	45.51%
22	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	45.40%
23	7.00 Champions	45.30%
24	36.00 Tomball	44.57%
25	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	43.06%
26	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	41.47%
27	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	41.14%
28	45.00 Alvin	39.60%
29	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	39.47%
30	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	38.77%
31	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	37.10%
32	31.00 SE Montgomery County	36.59%
33	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	35.89%
34	6.00 Braeswood	35.81%
35	28.00 Northside	35.22%
36	32.00 South Central	35.14%
37	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	34.69%
38	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	33.48%
39	3.00 Almeda Genoa	32.35%
40	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	32.17%
41	25.00 North Belt	31.23%
42	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	30.34%
43	27.00 North Forest	29.42%
44	1.00 Aldine	27.67%
45	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	20.49%



Percent Who Get A Great Deal Of Satisfaction From Their Neighborhood Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks near the middle of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on neighborhood satisfaction.
- 47.44% of adults living in the Heights Area CSA receive a great deal of satisfaction from their neighborhood.
- However, there is less neighborhood satisfaction in the Heights Area CSA than in the Greater Houston Area.
- For the Greater Houston Area, 55% report receiving a great deal of satisfaction from their neighborhood.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA an estimated 43,600 adults receive a great deal of satisfaction from their neighborhood.



CSA by Percent Who Receive a Great Deal of Satisfaction with Family

Ranking	CSA	Percent Great Deal of Satisfaction with Family
1	20.00 Katy Area	86.64%
2	40.00 Woodlands	85.87%
3	34.00 Spring/Klein	84.93%
4	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	84.37%
5	10.00 Deer Park	82.99%
6	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	82.96%
7	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	82.32%
8	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	80.81%
9	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	80.67%
10	30.00 Pearland	80.44%
11	36.00 Tomball	80.29%
12	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	80.17%
13	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	80.16%
14	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	79.78%
15	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	79.20%
16	42.00 Magnolia	78.84%
17	19.00 Jersey Village	78.80%
18	3.00 Almeda Genoa	78.46%
19	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	77.03%
20	45.00 Alvin	76.83%
21	37.00 Villages/Galleria	76.77%
22	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	76.65%
23	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	76.38%
24	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	76.34%
25	25.00 North Belt	76.17%
26	7.00 Champions	76.10%
27	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	75.96%
28	1.00 Aldine	75.93%
29	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	75.09%
30	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	74.54%
31	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	74.31%
32	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	74.15%
33	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	74.08%
34	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	74.07%
35	15.00 Heights Area	74.06%
36	31.00 SE Montgomery County	73.78%
37	6.00 Braeswood	73.31%
38	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	72.63%
39	38.00 Waller/Hockley	72.61%
40	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	71.82%
41	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	70.96%
42	27.00 North Forest	70.53%
43	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	68.69%
44	32.00 South Central	65.26%
45	28.00 Northside	64.01%



Percent Who Receive A Great Deal Of Satisfaction From Family Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks in the bottom quarter of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on family satisfaction.
- Despite its low relative ranking, 74.06% of adults living in the Heights Area CSA receive a great deal of satisfaction from their families.
- Family satisfaction in the Heights is somewhat lower than the family satisfaction value for the Greater Houston Area.
- For the Greater Houston Area, 79% report receiving a great deal of satisfaction from their families.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA an estimated 68,000 adults receive a great deal of satisfaction from their families.
- However, that means over 23,800 adults in the Heights Area CSA do not receive a great deal of satisfaction from their families.
- This is important for church outreach missions because research by Stark (2000) shows that people who are not embedded in satisfactory family relationships are more open to new relationships than those who have an established functional network of family and friends.
- Therefore, local churches may have an opportunity to make connections with those who do not receive a great deal of satisfaction with family life.



CSA by Percent Who Report Great Deal of Satisfaction w/Friends

Ranking	CSA	Percent Who Report Great Deal of Satisfaction w/Friends
1	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	99.61%
2	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	96.03%
3	37.00 Villages/Galleria	95.84%
4	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	95.27%
5	40.00 Woodlands	89.01%
6	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	88.77%
7	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	86.41%
8	20.00 Katy Area	81.70%
9	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	81.14%
10	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	78.61%
11	30.00 Pearland	77.77%
12	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	76.85%
13	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	76.38%
14	15.00 Heights Area	75.93%
15	6.00 Braeswood	75.91%
16	34.00 Spring/Klein	75.08%
17	7.00 Champions	74.68%
18	19.00 Jersey Village	69.53%
19	36.00 Tomball	62.08%
20	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	61.17%
21	32.00 South Central	57.89%
22	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	57.71%
23	10.00 Deer Park	56.87%
24	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	55.96%
25	38.00 Waller/Hockley	55.94%
26	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	55.64%
27	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	55.07%
28	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	53.98%
29	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	52.50%
30	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	51.28%
31	3.00 Almeda Genoa	50.49%
32	42.00 Magnolia	48.83%
33	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	47.76%
34	45.00 Alvin	47.74%
35	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	46.82%
36	25.00 North Belt	46.21%
37	1.00 Aldine	43.69%
38	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	42.97%
39	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	41.14%
40	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	39.43%
41	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	38.24%
42	27.00 North Forest	37.38%
43	31.00 SE Montgomery County	36.81%
44	28.00 Northside	33.31%
45	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	31.23%



Percent Who Receive A Great Deal Of Satisfaction From Their Friends Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks in the upper half of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on satisfaction from friends.
- 75.93% of adults living in the Heights Area CSA receive a great deal of satisfaction from their friends.
- This number is slightly higher than in the Greater Houston Area.
- For the Greater Houston Area, 69% report receiving a great deal of satisfaction from their friends.
- Interestingly, a slightly higher percentage of Heights Area CSA adults report receiving a great deal of satisfaction with their friends (75.93%) than with their families (74.06%).
- Adults in the Heights Area CSA are much more satisfied with their families (74.06%) and friendships (75.93%) than with their neighborhood (47.44%).
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA an estimated 69,700 adults receive a great deal of satisfaction from their friends.
- This means, however, that 22,100 adults in the Heights Area CSA are less satisfied with their friendships.
- This is important for church outreach missions because research by Stark (2000) shows that people who are not embedded in satisfactory friendships are more open to new relationships than those who have an established functional network of family and friends.
- Therefore, local churches have an opportunity to make connections with those who do not receive a great deal of satisfaction with friendships.



CSA by Percent Who Report Successfully Balancing Work and Family
 Percent Who Report Successfully
 Balancing Work and Family

Ranking	CSA	Percent Who Report Successfully Balancing Work and Family
1	10.00 Deer Park	40.77%
2	37.00 Villages/Galleria	37.24%
3	15.00 Heights Area	36.51%
4	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	36.42%
5	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	35.87%
6	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	33.88%
7	1.00 Aldine	33.23%
8	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	33.06%
9	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	32.70%
10	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	31.83%
11	34.00 Spring/Klein	31.45%
12	7.00 Champions	31.30%
13	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	31.06%
14	25.00 North Belt	31.03%
15	45.00 Alvin	31.00%
16	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	30.78%
17	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	30.06%
18	20.00 Katy Area	30.05%
19	3.00 Almeda Genoa	29.86%
20	40.00 Woodlands	29.74%
21	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	29.64%
22	19.00 Jersey Village	29.57%
23	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	29.54%
24	30.00 Pearland	29.31%
25	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	28.74%
26	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	28.69%
27	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	28.29%
28	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	28.25%
29	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	27.92%
30	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	27.83%
31	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	27.62%
32	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	27.41%
33	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	27.35%
34	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	27.23%
35	36.00 Tomball	27.03%
36	42.00 Magnolia	26.59%
37	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	26.55%
38	6.00 Braeswood	25.88%
39	31.00 SE Montgomery County	25.42%
40	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	24.76%
41	38.00 Waller/Hockley	23.92%
42	28.00 Northside	23.47%
43	32.00 South Central	21.83%
44	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	21.70%
45	27.00 North Forest	21.65%



Percent Who Report Successfully Balancing Work And Family Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks third from the top of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on success at balancing work and family.
- 36.51% of adults living in the Heights Area CSA report being successful at balancing the dual demands of work and family.
- Compared with the Greater Houston Area, the Heights' percentage is slightly higher.
- For the Greater Houston Area, 34% being successful at balancing the dual demands of work and family.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA an estimated 33,500 adults report being successful at balancing work and family lives.
- This means, however, that 58,300 adults, or a majority of adults in the Heights Area CSA, are not as successful at balancing work and family lives.
- This is important for church missions because research shows that the strains of balancing work and family life are among the leading causes of family dissolution (Kendall 2004).
- Working in these Heights' neighborhoods to help families be more successful at managing the dual demands of family and work life is a potential community ministry program.



CSA by Percent Generally Happy With Their Lives

Ranking	CSA	Percent Happy
1	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	56.42%
2	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	55.74%
3	40.00 Woodlands	52.63%
4	20.00 Katy Area	51.39%
5	34.00 Spring/Klein	50.88%
6	15.00 Heights Area	50.79%
7	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	50.70%
8	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	50.68%
9	37.00 Villages/Galleria	50.68%
10	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	50.48%
11	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	50.44%
12	30.00 Pearland	49.80%
13	10.00 Deer Park	49.65%
14	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	49.64%
15	19.00 Jersey Village	49.08%
16	7.00 Champions	48.52%
17	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	48.33%
18	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	47.84%
19	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	47.69%
20	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	47.26%
21	36.00 Tomball	46.52%
22	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	46.32%
23	45.00 Alvin	46.22%
24	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	46.05%
25	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	46.02%
26	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	45.99%
27	6.00 Braeswood	45.87%
28	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	45.86%
29	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	45.84%
30	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	45.27%
31	42.00 Magnolia	44.59%
32	38.00 Waller/Hockley	44.33%
33	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	44.11%
34	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	44.03%
35	1.00 Aldine	43.59%
36	31.00 SE Montgomery County	43.16%
37	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	42.66%
38	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	42.11%
39	25.00 North Belt	42.06%
40	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	42.05%
41	3.00 Almeda Genoa	41.70%
42	28.00 Northside	40.97%
43	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	39.13%
44	32.00 South Central	38.63%
45	27.00 North Forest	36.58%



Percent Who Are Happy With Their Lives Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks sixth from the top of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on overall happiness.
- 50.79% of adults living in the Heights Area CSA report being happy.
- This number is slightly higher than in the Greater Houston Area.
- For the Greater Houston Area, 48% report being happy.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA an estimated 46,600 adults report being happy.
- This means, however, that almost 45,200 adults are not very happy.
- As with satisfaction with family and friends, those who are not happy may be more amenable to new relationships. This is important for church outreach missions because research by Stark (2000) shows that people who are not happy are open to ministry.



CSA by Percent Who Attend Church at Least Once a Week

Ranking	CSA	Percent Who Attend Church at Least Once a Week
1	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	50.02%
2	3.00 Almeda Genoa	45.30%
3	27.00 North Forest	42.65%
4	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	42.27%
5	25.00 North Belt	40.11%
6	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	39.77%
7	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	39.44%
8	1.00 Aldine	38.38%
9	32.00 South Central	36.97%
10	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	36.87%
11	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	36.78%
12	20.00 Katy Area	36.59%
13	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	36.00%
14	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	35.09%
15	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	34.77%
16	10.00 Deer Park	34.29%
17	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	33.86%
18	34.00 Spring/Klein	33.55%
19	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	32.92%
20	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	32.73%
21	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	32.61%
22	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	32.34%
23	40.00 Woodlands	31.94%
24	28.00 Northside	31.84%
25	6.00 Braeswood	31.80%
26	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	31.69%
27	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	31.56%
28	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	30.96%
29	15.00 Heights Area	30.71%
30	30.00 Pearland	30.58%
31	19.00 Jersey Village	30.40%
32	45.00 Alvin	29.59%
33	42.00 Magnolia	29.20%
34	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	28.81%
35	36.00 Tomball	28.76%
36	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	28.00%
37	7.00 Champions	27.50%
38	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	27.44%
39	31.00 SE Montgomery County	27.25%
40	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	27.07%
41	38.00 Waller/Hockley	23.63%
42	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	23.23%
43	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	22.35%
44	37.00 Villages/Galleria	19.59%
45	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	15.39%



Percent Who Attend Church At Least Once A Week Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks in the bottom half of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on weekly church attendance.
- 30.71% of adults living in the Heights Area CSA attend church at least once a week.
- The average for the Greater Houston Area is 40% of adults attend church at least once a week.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA, an estimated 28,200 adults attend church every week.
- This does not mean that they necessarily attend church in the geographical Heights area.
- An estimated 63,600 adults, or a majority of adults in the Heights Area CSA do not attend church weekly.



CSA by Percent Who Never Attend Church

Ranking	CSA	Percent Who Never Attend Church
1	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	30.18%
2	15.00 Heights Area	21.29%
3	28.00 Northside	20.18%
4	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	19.53%
5	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	19.19%
6	38.00 Waller/Hockley	19.04%
7	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	18.97%
8	37.00 Villages/Galleria	17.53%
9	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	17.52%
10	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	17.11%
11	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	16.53%
12	45.00 Alvin	16.24%
13	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	16.03%
14	7.00 Champions	15.83%
15	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	15.74%
16	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	15.47%
17	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	15.20%
18	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	14.51%
19	6.00 Braeswood	14.27%
20	10.00 Deer Park	14.19%
21	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	13.42%
22	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	13.39%
23	19.00 Jersey Village	13.32%
24	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	13.32%
25	1.00 Aldine	13.08%
26	30.00 Pearland	13.04%
27	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	12.96%
28	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	12.71%
29	31.00 SE Montgomery County	12.36%
30	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	11.87%
31	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	11.68%
32	42.00 Magnolia	10.74%
33	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	10.08%
34	25.00 North Belt	9.77%
35	36.00 Tomball	9.76%
36	32.00 South Central	9.55%
37	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	9.34%
38	34.00 Spring/Klein	9.19%
39	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	9.05%
40	40.00 Woodlands	8.15%
41	20.00 Katy Area	8.10%
42	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	8.10%
43	3.00 Almeda Genoa	7.56%
44	27.00 North Forest	5.79%
45	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	4.27%



Percent Who Never Attend Church Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks second, only to the Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks CSA, on percent who never attend church.
- 21.29% of adults living in the Heights Area CSA never attend church.
- This percentage is more than twice the rate of non-attendance for the Greater Houston Area, in which 9% of adults never attend church.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA, over 19,500 adults never attend church.
- In the Heights Area CSA almost 44,100 adults attend church occasionally, but not every week.



CSA by Percent Who Consider Themselves Born Again

Ranking	CSA Number/Name	Percent Born Again
1	27.00 North Forest	78.59%
2	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	77.27%
3	3.00 Almeda Genoa	74.59%
4	32.00 South Central	73.61%
5	25.00 North Belt	68.83%
6	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	66.20%
7	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	65.19%
8	10.00 Deer Park	63.27%
9	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	61.94%
10	1.00 Aldine	60.67%
11	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	60.61%
12	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	60.19%
13	42.00 Magnolia	60.15%
14	31.00 SE Montgomery County	60.04%
15	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	59.96%
16	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	59.32%
17	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	59.31%
18	34.00 Spring/Klein	58.72%
19	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	57.99%
20	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	57.53%
21	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	57.39%
22	45.00 Alvin	57.32%
23	36.00 Tomball	57.04%
24	20.00 Katy Area	56.68%
25	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	55.75%
26	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	55.06%
27	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	54.59%
28	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	54.59%
29	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	54.38%
30	19.00 Jersey Village	53.72%
31	40.00 Woodlands	53.09%
32	30.00 Pearland	52.99%
33	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	52.92%
34	6.00 Braeswood	52.85%
35	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	52.02%
36	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	51.98%
37	28.00 Northside	51.54%
38	7.00 Champions	51.35%
39	38.00 Waller/Hockley	50.59%
40	15.00 Heights Area	48.45%
41	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	43.07%
42	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	42.78%
43	37.00 Villages/Galleria	34.18%
44	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	27.24%
45	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	26.44%



Percent Who Consider Themselves Born Again Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks sixth from the bottom of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area, on percent who consider themselves born again.
- 48.45% of adults living in the Heights Area CSA consider themselves born again.
- The average for the Greater Houston Area is 52%.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA, an estimated 44,500 adults consider themselves born again.
- Being born again, however, does not necessarily translate into weekly church attendance in the Heights Area CSA.
- Almost 16,300 more adults in the Heights Area CSA report being born again than report attending church each week.



CSA by Percent Likely to Read Bible When They Have Problems

Ranking	CSA	Percent likely to Read Bible w/Problems
1	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	91.14%
2	27.00 North Forest	89.82%
3	3.00 Almeda Genoa	87.58%
4	32.00 South Central	85.79%
5	25.00 North Belt	84.10%
6	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	82.26%
7	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	81.74%
8	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	80.16%
9	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	79.42%
10	1.00 Aldine	77.64%
11	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	77.09%
12	10.00 Deer Park	76.74%
13	42.00 Magnolia	76.43%
14	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	76.32%
15	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	76.08%
16	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	75.58%
17	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	75.27%
18	6.00 Braeswood	75.17%
19	31.00 SE Montgomery County	74.68%
20	36.00 Tomball	74.43%
21	34.00 Spring/Klein	74.42%
22	20.00 Katy Area	74.31%
23	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	74.10%
24	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	73.89%
25	45.00 Alvin	73.88%
26	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	73.81%
27	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	73.68%
28	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	73.25%
29	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	72.62%
30	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	72.14%
31	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	71.27%
32	19.00 Jersey Village	70.87%
33	40.00 Woodlands	70.76%
34	30.00 Pearland	70.19%
35	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	69.89%
36	7.00 Champions	69.71%
37	28.00 Northside	69.57%
38	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	68.96%
39	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	65.77%
40	38.00 Waller/Hockley	65.73%
41	15.00 Heights Area	64.37%
42	37.00 Villages/Galleria	62.67%
43	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	59.69%
44	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	52.37%
45	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	49.50%



**Percent Who Read The Bible Or Other Inspired Literature
When They Have A Problem
Heights Area CSA**

- The Heights Area CSA ranks fifth from the bottom of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on percent who read the Bible or other inspired literature when they have a problem.
- However, 64.37% report reading the Bible or other inspired literature when they have a problem.
- The average for the Greater Houston Area is 72%.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA, an estimated 59,100 adults report reading the Bible or other inspired literature when they have a problem.
- Despite lower levels of regular church attendance and higher levels of non-attendance, there appears to still be a high level of spiritual interest in the Heights Area CSA.



CSA by Percent Likely to See Religious Counselor when Have Problem

Ranking	CSA	Percent Likely to See Religious Counselor When Have Problem
1	3.00 Alameda Genoa	58.95%
2	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	55.60%
3	25.00 North Belt	54.78%
4	27.00 North Forest	54.70%
5	1.00 Aldine	53.41%
6	32.00 South Central	48.38%
7	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	45.09%
8	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	44.08%
9	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	43.66%
10	20.00 Katy Area	43.33%
11	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	43.21%
12	38.00 Waller/Hockley	43.04%
13	6.00 Braeswood	41.59%
14	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	41.47%
15	34.00 Spring/Klein	41.44%
16	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	40.95%
17	36.00 Tomball	40.90%
18	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	40.88%
19	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	40.77%
20	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	40.75%
21	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	40.61%
22	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	40.28%
23	40.00 Woodlands	40.16%
24	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	39.86%
25	7.00 Champions	39.60%
26	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	39.01%
27	31.00 SE Montgomery County	38.90%
28	45.00 Alvin	38.54%
29	19.00 Jersey Village	38.54%
30	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	38.50%
31	10.00 Deer Park	38.03%
32	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	37.87%
33	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	37.69%
34	42.00 Magnolia	37.24%
35	30.00 Pearland	35.79%
36	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	35.79%
37	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	34.61%
38	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	34.17%
39	28.00 Northside	33.97%
40	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	32.29%
41	37.00 Villages/Galleria	31.38%
42	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	30.39%
43	15.00 Heights Area	30.10%
44	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	29.24%
45	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	28.71%



Percent Who Are Likely To See A Religious Counselor When They Have Problems Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks third from the bottom of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on percent who are likely to see a religious counselor when they have problems.
- Only 30.10% of adults in the Heights Area CSA report they are likely to see a religious counselor when they have problems.
- The average for the Greater Houston Area is 40%.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA, approximately 27,600 adults report they are likely to see a religious counselor when they have problems.
- However, almost 64,200 adults in the Heights Area CSA do not report that they are likely to see a religious counselor when they have problems.



CSA by Percent Who Would Seek Help in Place of Worship When Have Problems

Ranking	CSA	Percent Likely to Seek Help in Place of Worship When Problems
1	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	47.55%
2	27.00 North Forest	42.13%
3	1.00 Aldine	42.06%
4	3.00 Almeda Genoa	40.72%
5	25.00 North Belt	39.68%
6	31.00 SE Montgomery County	38.19%
7	32.00 South Central	37.89%
8	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	36.34%
9	10.00 Deer Park	36.08%
10	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	35.10%
11	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	34.61%
12	42.00 Magnolia	34.46%
13	36.00 Tomball	34.27%
14	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	34.16%
15	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	33.85%
16	34.00 Spring/Klein	33.81%
17	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	33.42%
18	45.00 Alvin	33.40%
19	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	33.39%
20	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	33.36%
21	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	33.22%
22	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	33.02%
23	20.00 Katy Area	32.98%
24	40.00 Woodlands	32.94%
25	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	32.92%
26	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	32.26%
27	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	31.16%
28	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	30.98%
29	28.00 Northside	30.71%
30	19.00 Jersey Village	30.69%
31	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	30.62%
32	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	30.49%
33	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	30.21%
34	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	29.95%
35	30.00 Pearland	29.83%
36	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	29.67%
37	38.00 Waller/Hockley	29.34%
38	6.00 Braeswood	29.27%
39	15.00 Heights Area	29.17%
40	7.00 Champions	28.97%
41	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	28.06%
42	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	24.02%
43	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	23.99%
44	37.00 Villages/Galleria	23.21%
45	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	20.79%



Percent Who Would Seek Help In a Place of Worship When They Have Problems Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks seventh from the bottom of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on percent who would seek help in a place of worship when they have problems.
- Only 29.17% of adults in the Heights Area CSA report they would seek help in a place of worship when they have problems.
- The average for the Greater Houston Area is slightly higher at 33%.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA, approximately 26,800 adults report they would seek help in a place of worship when they have problems.
- However, over 65,000 adults in the Heights Area CSA do not report that they are likely to seek help in a place of worship when they have problems.
- About the same number of people attend church in the Heights Area CSA on a weekly basis (30.71%) as would seek help in a place of worship (29.17%) or from a religious counselor when they have a problem (30.10%).



CSA by Percent Who Pray When have Problems

Ranking	CSA	Percent Likely to Pray if have Problem
1	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	98.18%
2	27.00 North Forest	96.15%
3	32.00 South Central	93.13%
4	3.00 Almeda Genoa	92.80%
5	31.00 SE Montgomery County	92.50%
6	25.00 North Belt	92.45%
7	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	92.38%
8	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	92.20%
9	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	92.05%
10	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	91.59%
11	1.00 Aldine	91.50%
12	42.00 Magnolia	91.13%
13	28.00 Northside	90.74%
14	36.00 Tomball	90.61%
15	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	90.56%
16	6.00 Braeswood	89.69%
17	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	89.39%
18	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	89.11%
19	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	89.03%
20	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	88.81%
21	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	88.33%
22	45.00 Alvin	88.15%
23	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	88.08%
24	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	88.03%
25	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	87.79%
26	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	87.69%
27	34.00 Spring/Klein	87.56%
28	20.00 Katy Area	87.50%
29	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	87.09%
30	19.00 Jersey Village	87.07%
31	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	87.06%
32	40.00 Woodlands	86.79%
33	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	85.81%
34	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	85.59%
35	10.00 Deer Park	85.20%
36	30.00 Pearland	85.19%
37	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	84.55%
38	7.00 Champions	84.29%
39	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	84.15%
40	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	83.42%
41	15.00 Heights Area	82.01%
42	38.00 Waller/Hockley	80.71%
43	37.00 Villages/Galleria	80.25%
44	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	72.14%
45	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	68.57%



Percent Who Pray When They Have Problems Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks fifth from the bottom of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on percent who pray when they have problems.
- However, 82.01% of adults in the Heights Area CSA report they are likely to pray when they have problems.
- The average for the Greater Houston Area is slightly higher at 87%.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA, approximately 75,300 adults report they would pray when they have problems.
- However, over 16,500 adults in the Heights Area CSA do not report that they are likely to pray when they have problems.



CSA by Percent Who Have No Doubt About Existence of God

Ranking	CSA	No Doubt About Existence of God
1	14.00 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor	91.87%
2	27.00 North Forest	90.34%
3	31.00 SE Montgomery County	88.70%
4	32.00 South Central	88.01%
5	9.00 Crosby/Huffman	85.38%
6	3.00 Almeda Genoa	84.99%
7	42.00 Magnolia	84.55%
8	44.00 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon	84.49%
9	10.00 Deer Park	83.20%
10	25.00 North Belt	83.05%
11	1.00 Aldine	82.95%
12	4.00 Baytown/Highlands	82.23%
13	45.00 Alvin	82.13%
14	22.00 La Porte/Bayport	82.10%
15	18.00 Galena Park/Jacinto City	81.95%
16	26.00 North Shore/Channelview	81.05%
17	43.00 Dickinson/San Leon	79.90%
18	28.00 Northside	79.45%
19	36.00 Tomball	79.21%
20	29.00 Pasadena/South Houston	78.67%
21	12.00 East End/Gulfgate	78.51%
22	2.00 Alief/Sharpstown	77.50%
23	34.00 Spring/Klein	76.62%
24	41.00 Richmond/Rosenberg	76.57%
25	38.00 Waller/Hockley	76.30%
26	21.00 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble	75.58%
27	5.00 Bear Creek/West Little York	75.30%
28	16.00 Hobby/Sagemont	74.07%
29	24.00 Missouri City/Fresno	73.15%
30	19.00 Jersey Village	72.68%
31	23.00 League City/Webster/Friendswood	72.56%
32	20.00 Katy Area	72.54%
33	33.00 Spring Branch/Oak Forest	72.26%
34	30.00 Pearland	72.13%
35	13.00 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield	70.35%
36	35.00 Sugar Land/Stafford	69.74%
37	40.00 Woodlands	69.61%
38	6.00 Braeswood	68.02%
39	15.00 Heights Area	65.65%
40	7.00 Champions	65.29%
41	8.00 Clear Lake/Seabrook	61.91%
42	39.00 West Memorial/Westheimer	51.46%
43	17.00 Holcombe/Bellaire	33.63%
44	37.00 Villages/Galleria	28.92%
45	11.00 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks	25.98%



Percent Who Have No Doubts About The Existence Of God Heights Area CSA

- The Heights Area CSA ranks seventh from the bottom of all CSAs in the Greater Houston Area on percent who have no doubts about the existence of God.
- 65.65% of adults in the Heights Area CSA report no doubts about the existence of God.
- This figure is significantly lower than the 78% for the Greater Houston Area.
- There are an estimated 91,803 adults living in the Heights Area CSA.
- In the Heights Area CSA, almost 60,300 adults report no doubts about the existence of God.
- However, over 31,500 adults in the Heights Area CSA do report that they have some doubts about the existence of God.



Houston Profile Project Heights Area (CSA #15)

Key Leader Interviews

In this section, the results from individual, face-to-face interviews with key leaders in the CSA community are presented. The interviews were conducted by HPP volunteers using a standardized questionnaire developed by CCRD. Various key positions within the community were identified and the individual leaders that filled that particular position were contacted. If the key leader agreed to an interview, the results are included, maintaining confidentiality. The various responses are listed by question and categorized by topic. The key leader positions included elected community leaders, managers of large employers in the area, principals of local schools, civic organization leaders, labor union leaders, local Chamber of Commerce representatives, editors of neighborhood newspapers, directors of minority interest group, law enforcement officers, and leaders of major places of worship.

Center for Community Research and Development
Community Poll 98



Key Leader Interviews CSA #15 – Heights Area

What are the best things about the Heights Area?

Community Involvement

- Active civic community – engaged in issues affecting the neighborhood and the city.
- The area is being improved with new homes and residents who are able to get more involved in the community.
- Community is very involved.
- Sense of community.
- The businesses and civic leaders are very involved—Chamber of Commerce, United Way is moving to the area.
- The Community Center —people come for daycare, food bank supplies, and help to pay utility bills.
- People help with Thanksgiving Turkeys and Christmas gifts assistance.
- The residents are starting to pay more attention. They are now meeting to get more help for trash cleanup, patrolling the parks and exploring how the parks can be improved for youth ages 12 –18 years can have a safe place to play after school. The residents are meeting at the community center again to explore how to make this happen.
- The community comes to the YWCA for meals on wheels, nutrition support, and child care.
- The parks are a good community gathering place and most were built and owned by the civic associations. The Houston Heights Association is the most prevalent in the community.
- Residents’ willingness to volunteer in the community.
- People caring about the neighborhood and actively involved in neighborhood watch programs.

Neighborhood Initiatives

- People—small town community where everyone knows their neighbor. The community residents have pride in their neighborhoods.
- People have lived in the Heights area for many years.
- There are more neighborhood watch initiatives.
- Clean, well-maintained community.
- People are informed about city ordinances and impact on their neighborhood.
- Residents report vice sites to the police and they are shut down very quickly.
- They report potential crimes and robberies and watch the area very closely.



Historical Architecture

- Focus on historical preservation and artistic endeavors.
- Community really cares about how everything looks.
- Charm of the architecture.
- Old fashion charm of the city.
- Emergence of cultural arts. The Heights is an incubator for this. They might have the largest concentration in the country ranging from artists to live theaters, all of this is a relatively new development.
- Developed an awareness and increase of preservation and restoration of buildings. The Houston Heights Association is a leader in this initiative.

Location

- The geographic location in the city is highly desirable.
- Proximity to downtown Houston.
- Limited traffic problems.
- Value of the homes is rising—small homes are going away and the area is becoming more white-collar.
- Redevelopment of many of the blighted areas and decayed neighborhoods. These areas have become viable residential communities.

Commercial Growth

- Thriving area.
- New construction brings in new people to the neighborhood and increases the tax base to support the area schools.
- There are new retailers coming into the area -- Target opening a retail center.
- Businesses are committed to issues regarding preservation of neighborhoods while still embracing responsible commercial growth and development.

Crime Control

- Schools have improved their playgrounds and the officers are patrolling the areas more for security.
- The middle schools are very interested in after school programs and social programs for the teenagers.
- Crime conscious—Civic Center meetings are well attended and information is shared and given to the police. Police are walking the streets more and all crimes however small are reported.
- It is very difficult to get a liquor license and when businesses do, they are very responsible and do everything that they can to keep the license.
- Since the area is dry, sexually-oriented businesses do not exist in the Heights.

Diversity

- Diversity of people living in the area.
- Eclectic mix of residents.
- Wide variety in of residents' demographics.



What are the major problems facing the area?

Crime

- Break-ins and vandalism in parking lots.
- Car thefts.
- Crime --public safety concern.
- Car break-ins.
- Graffiti on church buildings.
- The parks are full of graffiti, drug needles and men hanging out drinking.
- There are over 18 sex offenders living in the area.
- There are a lot of troubled youth walking the area—particularly after 1:00 pm on Fridays.
- There is a lot of car theft and problems with commercial establishments.
- Crime.
- There are a lot of vagrants moving out from downtown and they are very aggressive.

Youth

- There is a large population of lower income students —largely Hispanic.
- There is a language barrier with the parents of the Hispanic students.
- Funding for after school care and mentoring programs has been eliminated.
- There is a baseball field but it has been deserted. Little League no longer meets and the men have taken over that area also.
- Teens and troubled youth have nothing to do after school.
- There are not enough programs for at risk youth—there are gangs in the area.
- Parents are not at home and they don't really care as much about the community and their children are left alone.
- We need after school programs for youth.

Property Value

- Increase of property taxes; land value is escalating quickly.
- Rapidly changing property values is displacing the residents who have been there a long time—blue-collar workers and Hispanics.
- The Hispanic community is being displaced.
- Most of the African American community has left the area due to real estate and the high prices.
- Many people have abandoned their properties and do not keep them up. They are waiting to sell at a high price to developers.
- Lack of deed restrictions and zoning—community is very frustrated with that.
- Maintaining balance of historical preservation and new development.
- The Heights has blue collar and white collar, and many Hispanics. The community is becoming more gentrified and the diversity is being lost to some degree.
- The median sale price of homes in the area is \$225,000, the area is changing.



- Two income families are taking over and they are losing their sense of neighborhood.
- The Heights is in transition. It has been changing for 10 years. Residents in \$250K homes live next to a shack that is run down.

Location

- Traffic – the Heights is a crossroads – traffic moves through the Heights. It is not a destination.
- There is easy access to 610, 1-10 and 1-45. As a result, there is a lot of crime—due to easy access to the freeways to get away at all hours.
- Close access to the freeways—there are pockets of crime, robberies and shootings.
- The neighbors are insulated within their areas.
- Traffic.

Services and Infrastructure

- The city services are not enough for the areas.
- City services—growing so rapidly—they are always working on the utilities and need more infrastructures.
- Infrastructure – streets and utilities are inadequate to support the changing population.
- Haphazard redevelopment, lack of comprehensive planning, no zoning, no master planning and the destroying of the neighborhoods.
- Churches have moved away in the past 20 years. Dying populations have caused the churches to leave the community.
- The schools are fairly rundown.
- Flooding.

Homeless

- Homeless population.
- Homeless people.
- The homeless are leaving downtown and starting to come into the area.

Entertainment

- The area is dry—no alcohol limits the venues for entertainment and cultural events.
- Neighborhood organizations—Houston Heights Association, Woodlands Heights Association are putting on festivals and they need to do more to help develop the needs of its residents.



Do the local places of worship play any roles in the three best things?

- Churches have a lot of character and historic value. They are offering their facilities for multi-purpose use to other community and civic organizations. This is an innovative use of space and their facilities. The Catholic churches have a food pantry and helps members of the community with utility bills.
- The Community Center is a ministry sponsored by one church.
- One church body is very involved in the families at the Center. The church provides monies for families. They adopt a family from the center and they bring food and gifts for Christmas and turkeys for Thanksgiving.
- Programs are being offered for youth in the Hispanic community.
- One church has a school. They offer ESL classes and they are trying to help the High School drop out rate to diminish.
- One church is offering ministry to indigent and homeless. They offer lunches
- Churches do good job of support for the Scouts.
- Churches participate in the Heights community.
- Churches spend a lot of time working with teens and kids.

Are there other contributions that places of worship are making to the area?

- Volunteer programs.
- Creating opportunities for all demographics.
- Providing social services as the socio-economic environment declines.
- Hosting community meetings.
- Tending to congregants' needs in low-income areas.
- Food programs.
- Living Waters class.
- Marriage classes.
- Alpha classes.
- Heights festival.
- Easter Egg Hunt.
- Tutoring on Tuesdays.
- Some churches have schools in the area.
- The Catholic churches have done more to serve the Hispanics in the area.



Can you think of any ways local places of worship help with addressing these problems?

- Rebuilding projects.
- Moral training for youth.
- Working with civic leaders.
- Community education.
- Providing public housing.
- Feeding the homeless.

Can you think of other organizations and programs that are helping address these problems?

- Kiwanis Club.
- Rotary Club.
- Catholic Charities: Works with Hispanic population.
- St. Thomas High School Seniors: Participate in community projects.
- Chamber of Commerce: Encourages community leaders and volunteers.
- Heights Civic Club.
- Renovation of Fire House.
- Renovation of Reagan High School.
- United Way.
- Food Bank of Houston.
- Houston Police: Respond quickly and are willing to help.
- The West End redevelopment groups and the civic association.
- Rotary and Chamber play a big role.
- Masonic Lodge is very active in the community.
- Nuevo Heights plays a key role in offering ESL to diverse groups.
- Reagan High School is undergoing major redevelopment. The community got together and hired a new Board and took care of the High School.
- PIP helps with the neighborhoods. Parks are well maintained by the Civic Associations.

Can you think of any ways that local places of worship contribute, even unwittingly, to making these problems worse?

- Churches are not appearing at events that address community issues.
- Churches are not back in a big way. There have been some churches try to offer programs in the community and the older members keep that from happening.
- Churches do not have a good mix of members-not as diverse as the community.
- They do not offer services in two languages to accommodate the demographics of the community.
- The churches have left the area and the ones still there are beginning to come back, but many can barely keep their doors open.



- Few churches are reaching out to the local people to give them what they need to survive in the community.
- They need to return to the community with a commitment to reach out.
- The churches are inwardly focused.

Can you think of any suggestions or potential programs, not already in place, that would help to solve the problems within the Heights Area?

Children

- Reaching the youth may have the biggest impact on the community. These programs need to be promoted or advertised more.
- Provide after-school program to keep children off of the streets.
- Outreach to address graffiti issues.
- Provide basketball program.
- The performing arts community should get more involved in offering programs for youth to keep them off the streets.
- It would help if organizations could help us with after school and mentoring programs. There is some talk that might be available through the YWCA.
- Need more programs for youth. Harper drops off their youth on a street corner for early dismissal on Fridays. These youth need a place to go.
- Mentoring programs for middle school youth are very important. They have no place to go. They need supervision after school and help in their studies.
- After school programs for youth would be very helpful and more involvement in the local schools.

Social Services

- Providing assistance to the elderly by providing transportation, etc. These programs need to be promoted or advertised more.
- Outreach for homeless.
- Programs for the Hispanics. They are losing their identity in our community.
- More community empowerment initiatives – holding educational workshops on topics such as affordable housing and available senior citizen services.
- Referrals to other social service agencies.
- The civic organizations are very active and the developers are very active. The citizens are concerned about blight and they are always on top of an abandoned area. They report everything they see to us. We see a lot of neighborhood involvement.

Churches

- Churches can join the Chamber of Commerce and get more visible in the community.




Finally, is there anything else about the Heights Area, that you think is especially important, that we really need to know about, that we ought to work on, or that we should include in this report?

- Schools have really improved in the past 10 years. Hats off to the local school principals and the HISD people who helped.
- The Blue collar community is dwindling and the white collar community is taking over. This creates tension in the community.
- There is a church with a large gay/lesbian following in the Heights and it caters to meeting their needs. All churches need to be aware of their population and how to best support them.
- Investigate what developers are building in the area and find out what organizations they know about.
- Attend the PIP meetings if you are church that wants to get involved.
- Host a luncheon in late January with 50 or so community leaders if the church wants to get more involved in this community.





Houston Profile Project's Community Study Areas (CSA)



- | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 Aldine | 16 Hobby/Sagemont | 31 SE Montgomery County |
| 2 Alief/Sharpstown | 17 Holcombe/Bellaire | 32 South Central |
| 3 Almeda Genoa | 18 Galena Park/Jacinto City | 33 Spring Branch/Oak Forest |
| 4 Baytown/Highlands | 19 Jersey Village | 34 Spring/Klein |
| 5 Bear Creek/West Little York | 20 Katy Area | 35 Sugar Land/Stafford |
| 6 Braeswood | 21 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble | 36 Tomball |
| 7 Champions | 22 La Porte/Bayport | 37 Villages/Galleria |
| 8 Clear Lake/Seabrook | 23 League City/Webster/Friendswood | 38 Waller/Hockley |
| 9 Crosby/Huffman | 24 Missouri City/Fresno | 39 West Memorial/Westheimer |
| 10 Deer Park | 25 North Belt | 40 Woodlands |
| 11 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks | 26 North Shore/Channelview | 41 Richmond/Rosenberg |
| 12 East End/Gulfgate | 27 North Forest | 42 Magnolia |
| 13 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield | 28 Northside | 43 Dickinson/San Leon |
| 14 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor | 29 Pasadena/South Houston | 44 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon |
| 15 Heights | 30 Pearland | 45 Alvin |



Houston Profile Project's Community Study Areas (Zips & USPS Cities)

#1 Aldine 77032 Houston 77037 Houston 77039 Houston 77060 Houston	#9 Crosby/Huffman 77336 Huffman 77532 Crosby	#18 Galena Park/Jacinto City 77013 Houston 77029 Houston 77547 Galena Park
#2 Alief/Sharpstown 77036 Houston 77072 Houston 77083 Houston 77099 Houston	#10 Deer Park 77536 Deer Park	#19 Jersey Village 77040 Houston 77064 Houston 77070 Houston
#3 Almeda Genoa 77045 Houston 77047 Houston 77048 Houston 77053 Houston 77085 Houston	#11 Downtown/Montrose/River Oaks 77002 Houston 77006 Houston 77010 Houston 77019 Houston 77098 Houston	#20 Katy Area 77094 Houston 77449 Katy 77450 Katy 77493 Katy 77494 Katy
#4 Baytown/Highlands 77520 Baytown 77521 Baytown 77562 Highlands	#12 East End/Gulfgate 77003 Houston 77011 Houston 77012 Houston 77017 Houston 77023 Houston 77087 Houston	#21 Kingwood/Atascocita/Humble 77044 Houston 77338 Humble 77339 Humble 77345 Humble 77346 Humble 77396 Humble
#5 Bear Creek/West Little York 77041 Houston 77084 Houston	#13 Fairfield/Cypress/Copperfield 77065 Houston 77095 Houston 77429 Cypress 77433 Cypress	#22 La Porte/Bayport 77507 Pasadena 77571 La Porte
#6 Braeswood 77031 Houston 77035 Houston 77071 Houston 77074 Houston 77081 Houston 77096 Houston	#14 Fifth Ward/Denver Harbor 77020 Houston 77026 Houston	#23 League City/Webster/Friendswood 77518 Bacliff 77546 Friendswood 77549 Friendswood 77565 Kemah 77573 League City 77598 Webster
#7 Champions 77014 Houston 77066 Houston 77068 Houston 77069 Houston 77073 Houston 77090 Houston	#15 Heights 77007 Houston 77008 Houston 77009 Houston 77018 Houston	#24 Missouri City/Fresno 77459 Missouri City 77489 Missouri City 77545 Fresno
#8 Clear Lake/Seabrook 77058 Houston 77059 Houston 77062 Houston 77586 Seabrook	#16 Hobby/Sagemont 77034 Houston 77061 Houston 77075 Houston 77089 Houston	#25 North Belt 77038 Houston 77067 Houston 77086 Houston 77088 Houston 77091 Houston
	#17 Holcombe/Bellaire 77005 Houston 77025 Houston 77030 Houston 77054 Houston 77401 Bellaire	

#26 North Shore/Channelview

77015 Houston
77049 Houston
77530 Channelview

#27 North Forest

77016 Houston
77028 Houston
77050 Houston
77078 Houston

#28 Northside

77022 Houston
77076 Houston
77093 Houston

#29 Pasadena/South Houston

77502 Pasadena
77503 Pasadena
77504 Pasadena
77505 Pasadena
77506 Pasadena
77587 South Houston

#30 Pearland

77581 Pearland
77584 Pearland

#31 SE Montgomery County

77365 Porter

#32 South Central

77004 Houston
77021 Houston
77033 Houston
77051 Houston

#33 Spring Branch/Oak Forest

77043 Houston
77055 Houston
77080 Houston
77092 Houston

#34 Spring/Klein

77373 Spring
77379 Spring
77388 Spring
77389 Spring

#35 Sugar Land/Stafford

77477 Stafford
77478 Sugar Land
77479 Sugar Land

#36 Tomball

77375 Tomball
77377 Tomball

#37 Villages/Galleria

77024 Houston
77027 Houston
77046 Houston
77056 Houston
77057 Houston
77063 Houston

#38 Waller/Hockley

77447 Hockley
77484 Waller

#39 West Memorial/Westheimer

77042 Houston
77077 Houston
77079 Houston
77082 Houston

#40 Woodlands

77380 Spring
77381 Spring
77382 Spring
77385 Conroe
77386 Spring

#41 Richmond/Rosenberg

77469 Richmond
77471 Rosenberg

#42 Magnolia

77354 Magnolia
77355 Magnolia
77362 Pinehurst

#43 Dickinson/San Leon

77539 Dickinson

#44 Manvel/Arcola/Rosharon

77578 Manvel
77583 Rosharon

#45 Alvin

77511 Alvin

**For more information on Mission Houston's
Houston Profile Project
Or, to report errors in this report,
please go to www.houstonprofileproject.org**